

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/809,927		BARNES-LEON ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	HO SHIU		2457	

All Participants:

(1) HO SHIU.

(2) Eric Stephenson Reg. # 38,321.

Date of Interview: 30 June 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 18-20

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 2:00 pm

/Ho Ting Shiu/
Examiner, Art Unit 2457

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A call was placed to applicant's representative for a proposed examiner's amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance. The proposed examiner's amendment was approved by Eric Stephenson Reg. # 38,321 on June 30, 2010.